FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 04/17/2013

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 144

INDEX NO. 654014/2012

1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 04/17/2013

1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 2 COUNTY OF NEW YORK: CIVIL TERM: 60 3 Application of Joseph Yahudaii, 4 : Index No.: 5 Petitioner, : 654014/2012 6 In the Matter of the Application for Dissolution of True Gate Holding, Ltd., 7 a New York Domestic Corporation, 8 -v-9 Mehry Noghrei, 10 Motion Respondent. : Calendar 11 ----X 60 Centre Street 12 New York, New York April 4th, 2013, 13 14 BEFORE: HONORABLE MARCY S. FRIEDMAN, 15 Justice, 16 APPEARANCES: 17 TOBACK BERNSTEIN & REISS, LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 18 15 West 44th Street - 12th Floor New York, New York 10036 19 BRIAN K. BERNSTEIN, ESQ. BY: 20 NAIDICH WURMAN BIRNBAUM & MADAY, LLP 21 Attorneys for Respondent 80 Cuttermill Road 22 Great Neck, New York 11021 ROBERT P. JOHNSON, ESQ. 23 24 25 William D. Leone Senior Court Reporter 26

## Proceedings 1 2 THE COURT: On the record. 3 Good morning. May I have counsels' appearances, 4 please. 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: Good morning. Brian Bernstein, of Toback, Bernstein & Reiss, LLP, 6 7 for Petitioner Yahudaii. 8 MR. JOHNSON: Robert Johnson, 80 Cuttermill Road, Great Neck, appearing for the Respondent Mehry Noghrei. 9 10 THE COURT: Who else is present? 11 MR. GENTILE: Anthony Gentile, of counsel, to, 12 Bailey & Sherman of Douglaston, I'm here for Mr. Baroukhian. I have an application for an adjournment, if your Honor 13 would consider that. Mr. Baroukhian. 14 15 THE COURT: Excuse me. Have you filed a notice of 16 appearance? You didn't give your appearance to the court 17 reporter, did you? 18 MR. GENTILE: I'm trying to explain. 19 THE COURT: Excuse me. I don't even know who you 20 are. Your name is not even written down. 21 MR. GENTILE: I have not been retained yet. 22 THE COURT: Please, do not speak over me under any 23 circumstance. Now, would you give your card to the court 24 25 reporter, please. 26 MR. GENTILE: I haven't been retained in this case.

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

### 

## Proceedings

THE COURT: Then I am not going to hear from you. Please, have a seat in the spectator section. You have not been retained. I want to make sure that I heard that.

MR. GENTILE: I have not been retained.

THE COURT: Now, let me just say for the record -- Have a seat in the spectator section.

I have been dealing with Mr. Yahudaii and Mr. Baroukhian for many years. I had their case when I was sitting in IAS Part 57. I note that Mr. Yahudaii and Mr. Baroukhian are both in the courtroom today. Mr. Baroukhian had numerous lawyers in the prior proceeding and had a lawyer and then went pro se and then had a lawyer and then went pro se and then had a lawyer and then had a lawyer and went pro se and then had a lawyer and went pro se and then had a lawyer and went pro se. I hope I've made my point. So, I am certainly not going to hear today from a lawyer who has not even been retained yet.

Now, let me say also, I have a proceeding by Mr.

Yahudaii for dissolution of True Gate Holding, Limited,
against Mehry Noghrei, who is Mr. Baroukhian's
mother-in-law. She is the party of record in this case.

This case has been going on for a few months, since the end
of last year. And Mr. Baroukhian has been here, but he has
not yet moved to intervene. He is not a party in this
proceeding.

I note that he has served a motion, which is still

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

# 

### 

## 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

### 

### 

# 

# 

### Proceedings

in Part 130, and this is a pro se motion for change of venue and for a stay of this proceeding.

The clerks have been instructed, I believe, to accept papers so they are not making judgments about who may seek relief from the court. These papers in fact were not properly filed however, because Mr. Baroukhian is not a party to this proceeding.

Mr. Bernstein, have you responded to these papers yet?

MR. BERNSTEIN: No, your Honor. And if I may --

THE COURT: I don't wish to hear anything now.

MR. BERNSTEIN: It's just --

THE COURT: Just a moment, please. I just want to hear an answer to my question.

Have you responded to this yet?

MR. BERNSTEIN: No, but those papers were not filed in this court.

THE COURT: I am informed by my court attorney -- would you have a seat, please -- that they are in Part 130.

Mr. Johnson, have you responded to this?

MR. JOHNSON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Both parties to this case are directed not to respond to this motion. When it is transferred to this part from Part 130, assuming it has in fact been filed, it will be denied on the grounds that Mr.

### Proceedings

2

Baroukhian is not a party to this case.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

74

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Mr. Baroukhian is directed not to file any further papers with the Court, with the exception that if he has a good faith basis to do so he may file an order to show cause which shall state on its face in bold type that it is to be presented to me for consideration for signing.

The Court will direct the clerk of the court not to accept any papers from Mr. Baroukhian unless they are presented by order to show cause with the boldface type notation that I have indicated.

In addition, this is a Part 60's case and both parties to the case are directed that there is to be compliance with the Part 60 rules and the rules of the Commercial Division. The parties have not been complying with those rules and I have a motion for a -- I have presented an order to show cause for a motion to stay this proceeding pending hearing of a motion in Nassau County to change venue to Nassau County.

There should have had been a request for a pre-motion conference before that order to show cause was presented. The order to show cause may not be used as a means of avoiding the pre-motion conference rules set forth in the rules of the Commercial Division.

That said, since the parties are here today, in any event, I will hear both in relation to any issues on the

### Proceedings

petition for dissolution and the request to sign the order to show cause together.

Now, I will begin with Mr. Bernstein.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor.

Good morning. When we were here before your Honor last, I believe that was February 7thg, 2013, counsel and I had already presented argument with respect to petition for dissolution, as well as the order to show cause seeking the Court's authorization to serve the mortgage foreclosure papers that have already been filed by other counsel with respect to the action regarding the property subject of the mortgage.

The Court asked us to file the order to show cause and to serve on parties that were necessary under the statute, which we have done. We have complied with the publication requirements. We have handed up amended copies to our motion. I have asked my secretary to upload to the Court, by ECF, copies of the publications independent as being an exhibit to our response to the motion for a stay.

As it relates to the initial question of this

Court, there was a question as to whether the statute of

limitations would have run in January. I don't know if the

Court wants me to go through that again, but I believe we

have satisfied through the case law and the facts of this

case the issue that the statute of limitations, in fact,

### Proceedings

would have run in January.

The Court, in December, had given True Gate a provision to file its foreclosure action, but in that decision stated its ability to serve those papers.

We have advised the Court through papers that the 120 day rule would elapse in April, and a lot has happened since in court last for different applications for a stay both here and the Appellate Division, in my view, in an effort to stop this Court from rendering a decision to allow us to serve those foreclosure mortgage papers.

The difficulty is we also have the need to and filed a motion to extend the time under 306-b since the Court's December order asked us to file those papers pursuant to 306-b and been unable to serve them because the order staying us was in place before we actually even filed those papers.

Mr. Bernstein, was there an Appellate Division order addressing or modifying my order permitting you to file the foreclosure action but not to serve it?

MR. BERNSTEIN: No, your honor, Mr. Baroukhian had filed pro se the application to stay. I appeared with him. I was given notice on Friday at six o'clock to be in court on Monday.

THE COURT: When was this?

This past Friday, Good Friday, your MR. BERNSTEIN:

WILLIAM D. LEONE, SENIOR COURT REPORTER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# Proceedings

honor is when I got notice and the notice wasn't to me. 2 3 notice was to other counsel. They were good enough to forward a copy of the letter saying that there would be an 4 5 application made before the Appellate Division First Department seeking a stay of this action. I then went down 6 7 to the Appellate Division Monday morning. I met with Mr. Baroukhian, I met with the clerk, the court attorney. 8 9 we went up and saw Justice Tom who heard argument for application of a interim stay. Justice Tom, after oral 10 11 argument, denied the stay. A briefing schedule was setup 12 with respect to the motion for a stay that was filed by Mr. 13 Baroukhian. My papers are not due until Monday the age. 14 believe Mr. Baroukhian reply papers he asked for sometime 15 the third week of April. But the basis is again in my 16 opinion to stop you from rendering a decision to allow me to 17 serve the foreclosure action so that we couldn't complete 18 the foreclosure or at least perfect service of the foreclosure under 306. 19

As it relates --

THE COURT: So you are.

MR. BERNSTEIN: I am everywhere.

THE COURT: You are telling telling me that this motion to change venue and stay the case, which is, on its face, made returnable in room 130 and which I am advised by my court attorney was in fact filed in this court, was filed

## 1 Proceedings 2 instead with the Appellate Division. 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm not sure what paper you're 4 looking at, your Honor. THE COURT: 5 I'm going to hand it it up so can you see it and of course Mr. Johnson can look at it as well. 6 7 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. 8 Pause in proceedings. Handing to counsel. 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: Your Honor I can tell you I that I 10 11 haven't seen this. What I have is I have a notice of motion 12 to change venue that was filed presumably in the county of Nassau with a new index number from the county of Nassau 13 seeking the very relief that you just recited. 14 15 THE COURT: A motion that was filed by Mr. 16 Baroukhian? MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. Well. 19 MR. JOHNSON: Can I see it. 20 THE COURT: Excuse me. Mr. Baroukhian is about to 21 stand. I am not hearing from Mr. Baroukhian today, but since he is hear, listening, I am going to tell him that he 22 23 is skating on very thin ice and he is going to be facing 24 potential sanctions if he continues to file papers that 25 there is no basis for him to file.

26

WILLIAM D. LEONE, SENIOR COURT REPORTER

In any event, the motion that I said at the outset

# 

### Proceedings

counsel need not respond to is submitted by Mr. Baroukhian in his own name. It purports to be made returnable on April 22, 2013 in Part 130 of this courthouse. And counsel need not respond. This will be denied because he has no standing to file that motion.

Now, what relief are you seeking here today Mr. Bernstein.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Well the two forms of relief that we sought in essence from the beginning one is court permission to serve the mortgage foreclosure papers that were filed about accordance with this courts December decision, recognizing that my time runs out this month under 306.

The second would be for dissolution of True Gate and that all funds that are collected in terms of the foreclosure can be held pending further court order so that the court retains jurisdiction over those funds, but there's been no opposition of merit filed with respect to dissolution. There's been no opposition asserted that the corporation can function. There's been no opposition asserted that there's been a meeting or consensus among the directors or shareholders. There's just been a litany of allegations relating to the trial that this court sat and presided over and issues relating to prior to that date.

THE COURT: But there is a motion to change venue

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

# 

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

# Proceedings

that was filed by the respondent Mary know graze counsel in Nassau County; correct?

MR. BERNSTEIN: If you give me one moment, Judge.
Pause.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. That's filed by Mr. Johnson. And our time to file a response to that will be this week. I asked my secretary to confirm with the court. I believe it's relevant to address in this proceeding and I think that's what the court had asked us to do when we came in with respect to Mr. Johnson's order to show cause.

THE COURT: So I think we'll turn to Mr. Johnson and hear his presentation on why the respondent takes the position that this venue motion can be brought in Nassau County and whether I should sign this order to show cause for a stay of this dissolution proceeding pending hearing of the motion and then I will hear petitioner Yahudaii's response and we'll go from there.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, your Honor.

If your Honor pleases, as you may recall this case -- It's a corporate dissolution proceeding brought under BCL 1104.

THE COURT: Believe me, I recall. I have been living with an incarnation of this case for years.

MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry, Judge, I share your pain,

### Proceedings

I really do but if I may.

The case started, I believe, November 20th with petition and notice of petition counsel also brought a separate order to hoe cause seeking courts permission to start the foreclosure action which this court had actually dismissed back in May of 200012 using True Gate as the plaintiff instead of Mr. Yahudaii personally.

I believe there was a court appearance about December fourteenth. My client did not appear. There was a transcript. I was not in the case at that point and the entire proceeding apparently was put over to oral argument or further court appearance on February seven, 2013.

Upon coming into case we file a verified answer to the petition dated January 22, 2013.

Believing that venue was improper because the corporations office has always been in Great Neck New York as reflected in the certificate of incorporation, which is the prime factor under CPLR Section 503, we. We also sent in and filed with and served with counsel a demand for change of venue pursuant to CPLR Section 511-b. As I'm sure the Court knows, that's an intricate statute that if a defendant believes venue has been improperly placed in the wrong county, we have the right to serve with our answer a demand upon plaintiff's counsel, telling counsel you have knife days to which to provide us with an affidavit stating

# Proceedings

some facts supporting your contention that venue had been properly placed in the summons.

We never received any response to that.

I had thought about frankly moving at that time for change of venue but the court appearance was basically February seventh, which was the same date that I had to make my -- I'm sorry the statute also -- once I serve demand and there's no response, I have 15 days in which to make the motion to change venue as a right. And it's an unusual statute because it gives the moving party the option to change venue, make the motion in the county where the action was commenced or in what we believe would have been proper count see which here is Nassau County.

However, we came in February 7th, 2013, court directed counsel to -- I won't say jurisdiction -- but, in effect, start the case over because he had to file an order to show cause, join the state tax commission, do the publications in the newspapers, which none of which had been done at that point, and to file an amended petition. And he did that. I'm not contesting that he -- withdraw.

Therefore, on March 13th or 14th, 2013, on a timely basis we interposed as a matter of right, an answer to the amended petition. Although, technically, order to show cause is to amend this petition but we already answered it and on the same date with the answer to amended petition we

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# Proceedings

filed an amended demand for change of venue specifying in considerable detail why we believe Nassau County was the proper venue.

Now, actually, if I may, this is interesting because on the afternoon of February 7th, 2013, Mr. Yahudaii's went to Gerald Weinberg, P.C., which is a corporate service in Albany who I use and he filed a document called a Certificate of Change under 805-A of the Business Corporation Law, to change the office the address for service of process of the corporation.

So he tried to change the venue after the case had started. However, what my research revealed is that BCL eight oh five-capital A does not change a certificate does not change certificate of incorporation and the office of the corporation and there for it's of no moment for venue purposes.

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, your position is that you filed a timely demand to change venue in response to the amended petition.

MR. JOHNSON: Correct, Judge.

THE COURT: That the petitioner did not then file the 511-b affidavit showing that the county specified by the defendant is not proper or that the county designated by him is proper and that you then within 15 days of service of the demand served your motion.

# Proceedings

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. JOHNSON: Correct.

THE COURT: Served your motion to change venue. That motion being the motion before the Nassau County court.

MR. JOHNSON: Correct, your Honor and that was March 22nd, 2013, we made the motions and filed in Nassau Supreme Court where it's now returnable April 8th following the service of our amended demand which was March fourteenth so we were well within the 15 days. Actually interesting area of the law, you know, because the statute really isn't used that much.

THE COURT: Now I think I'm going to hear from Mr. Bernstein in response.

> MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you disputing any of Mr. Johnson's representations that the affidavit that venue here is proper was not served in response to the demand or that the motion was timely brought in Nassau County?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, I am opposing that.

The motion under 511-b was not timely served. The demand to change venue was served in January. It's dated January 18, 2013, but the affidavit of service, I believe, was January 22, 2013. The basis set forth in that demand is under the CPLR 511-b and specifically states that the venue designated is an improper venue.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

# Proceedings

MR. BERNSTEIN: That the venue designated is an improper venue.

Under 511-b counsel then has 15 days like a statute of limitations, has 15 days to file his motion to change venue. I have cited cases in my affidavit that the court had asked for on April 1st that he fail to timely file that motion to change venue. Your right to change venue is lost.

THE COURT: But you're going back to the first petition that was never properly served. Are you not?

MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: You're saying there wasn't a timely motion to change venue in response to the first petition in this case, the original petition, that was never properly served.

MR. BERNSTEIN: He served the demand. The demand can be served with the answer. It could also be served after the answer. He served his demand in January. He never moved to change venue.

THE COURT: But that was with respect to the original petition that I found had not been properly served.

MR. BERNSTEIN: But the action was still pending here. The action remained here. There was no motion to dismiss this action. And under the cases that both counsel had cited I could correct those defects which were not considered jurisdictional so I can serve 9 taxation

Proceedings

department which we did and it do the publications. The action remained here. You can't ignore 511-b because of that service issue.

THE COURT: Are you taking the position that when you served an amended position the respondent did not have the right to serve a demand to change venue with respect to that petition.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Mr. Johnson misspoke when he said I served an amended petition. If the court will look at my motion papers.

THE COURT: I am looking at the petition. It says amended petition.

MR. BERNSTEIN: If the court will look at my motion papers would be one of the forms of relief that I had requested in one of my motions is leave to file an amended petition. That has not yet been address by the court and that would have been addressed today along with the relief of the various motion that's have been brought up to today. So I never served him and counsel will tell you that I never served him with an amended petition.

THE COURT: What did you serve by publication?

MR. BERNSTEIN: We served the I think the order to show cause with all of the exhibits with the order to show cause. I think it was the order with the Court's nomenclature on that order together with all of the exhibits

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

### Proceedings

to that which included our application to file an amended petition. But I haven't served an amended petition in this case. And if counsel's position is take egg en what would happen is that 15 day rule would be eviscerated. the basis set forth in what he denominates as an amend demand to change venue is no different than the original demand to change venue. Once he served that demand he had 15 days to move the case. And that was never moved. Now he does have the right to file his application in Nassau County.

All right. I will give Mr. Johnson a THE COURT: brief reply on this. And you should address whether if I stay these proceedings to permit you to bring that motion, whether the petitioner should be permitted to serve the foreclosure proceeding in order to preserve the status quo.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, your Honor.

If your Honor pleases, I believe the petitioner served us with an order to show cause with an amended petition and in the amended petition, it says, the petition is being amended in part to reflect that they've now changed the corporate office from Nassau County to New York County.

So, with all due respect, and I do respect my adversary, for him to contend that my answer and my demand to change venue is untimely seems to be somewhat disingenuous.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

# Proceedings

The petition, with the order to show cause under the BCL, and I believe it's 1106, he's supposed to file the petition. So he filed an order to show cause and published it with an amended petition reflecting these changes and I put an answer to the amended petition and with respect there are three cases in the Appellate Division, one of them counsel cites Valley Psychological, P.C. -v- Government Employees Insurance Company, 944, New York Supplement 2d, 785, Third Department.

THE COURT: Are these cited in your papers?

MR. JOHNSON: They are in my exhibit, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. I need to stop you.

MR. JOHNSON: Judge --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I need to stop you. Would you have a seat, please.

> MR. JOHNSON: I'm sorry.

I am persuaded that this order to show THE COURT: cause to stay the proceeding is not, on its face, without merit. I was concerned about the issue of moving in Nassau There are some factual issues that will need to be resolved to determine the ultimate propriety of that motion having been made there or the timeliness on the motion but I am going to sign the order to show cause and I am also going to stay this proceeding pending hearing, stay this proceeding meaning the dissolution proceeding pending

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

# 

## Proceedings

hearing for the motion for a stay.

However, I am going to condition the stay on leave to petitioner to serve the foreclosure proceeding in order to maintain the status quo and avoid any passage of the statute of limitations. The service will be permitted but pending the determination of the motion for say stay any steps to prosecute the foreclosure proceeding other than the service will not be permitted.

My court attorney will confer with you with a view to giving you a convenient day for the order to show cause for the stay and the adjourn date for the dissolution proceeding.

The parties are directed to promptly obtain a copy of the transcript of today's proceedings and to E-file it and file a hard copy with the clerk of Part 60.

The parties are advised that I may correct errors in the transcript, therefore, if it is needed for any further purpose they should be sure they have copy as so ordered by me and not merely a signed by the court reporter.

The record is closed for today's proceedings.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Judge.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you, Judge.

# \* \* \*

Certified to be a true and accurate transcript of the stenographic minutes taken within.

Proceedings

Senior Court Reporter