

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF KINGS

-----X
MICHAEL KORDONSKY, individually and on behalf of Dial
Car Inc., and JEFFREY GOLDBERG, individually and on
behalf of Dial Car Inc.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ALEX BRUDOLEY, MARK LEVSHITS, DEMETRIOS
SARDELIS, ANASTASIOS KOSTARELOS, MARK
BARISHMAN, DAVID UKILIS, LEV BEYEDER, AVENUE
X REALTY NY LLC, and WEBSTER BANK NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION,

Defendants,

and

DIAL CAR, INC.

Nominal Defendant.

-----X

**AFFIRMATION IN
OPPOSITION**

Index No.: 524563/2023

Motion Sequence: #1

Alexander Roytblat, an attorney admitted to practice law in the Courts of the State of New York hereby affirms under the penalties of perjury:

That I am associated with the firm of ROYTBLAT LAW GROUP, PLLC, attorneys for the Nominal Defendant, DIAL CAR, INC., herein and as such I am fully familiar with the facts and circumstances of the above-entitled matter and submit this affirmation in opposition to the Defendants' instant Motion for leave of court to allow intervention into this matter by a number of additional plaintiffs.

It should be mentioned at the outset, that the defense counsel is delusional if he thinks that Dial Car, Inc does not have an opposition to his ridiculous motion.

In fact, Dial Car, Inc is emphatically opposing the instant motion that asks the court to allow a clear minority of its shareholders, who are clearly disgruntled with Dial Car, Inc. for a sole reason of not being satisfied with the current management of the company for one reason or another, as well as to support the vindictive and destructive quest by the defendants herein against it, to intervene in this action with a sole purpose of dissolving Dial Car, Inc.

It should be noted that, upon information and belief, most of the shareholders that supposedly are seeking to intervene in this action are wives of the Plaintiff's herein, defendants in the 2015 action (Guzman, et al v. Kordonsky, et al, Index #: 512059/2015) and their wives, and the rest, are either close friends, or direct relatives of the defendants.

In terms of the specific legal arguments, as to why the instant motion should be denied, in order to conserve the court's time and resources, Dial Car, Inc will rely on the arguments raised and delineated within the opposition papers of the defendants in this action.

Based on the foregoing, the Defendants' instant motion should be denied.

WHEREFORE, the Nominal Defendant respectfully request this Court deny instant motion in its entirety; and grant such other ad further relief that it deems just and proper.

Dated: Great Neck, New York
February 28, 2024

Yours, etc.



Alexander Roytblat, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
98 Cutter Mill Road,
Suite 479 North
Great Neck, NY 11021
(718) 872-6374

To:

FINKELSTEIN FILLER, LLP
Edward R. Finkelstein, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
1610 Richmond Road
New York, New York 10304

STATE OF NEW YORK)
COUNTY OF NASSAU) SS.:

Alexander Roytblat, Esq., an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the State of New York affirms:

Affiant is not a party to the action, is over 18 years of age and resides at 98 Cutter Mill Road | Suite 479 North, Great Neck, New York 11021.

On February 28, 2024, affiant served the within: **AFFIRMATION IN OPPOSITION** upon:

FINKELSTEIN FILLER, LLP
Edward R. Finkelstein, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
1610 Richmond Road
New York, New York 10304

This being the physical and electronic addresses designated by said attorneys for that purpose by NYSCEF.

/s/ Alexander Roytblat
Alexander Roytblat, Esq

